

CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF FLUSHING
6524 N. SEYMOUR ROAD
BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING
DATE: AUGUST 12, 2004 **TIME: 7:00 P.M.**
PHONE: 810-659-0800 **FAX 810-659-4212**
WEB PAGE: <http://www.flushingtowship.com>

ADMINISTRATION MEMBERS

SUPERVISOR: Andrew Trotogot
CLERK: Julia A. Morford
TREASURER: Carl G. Liepmann

TRUSTEES

Ronald R. Flowers
Ann L. Fotenakes
Michael S. Gardner
Ida M. Reed

TOWNSHIP ATTORNEYS:

John Siler and Steven Moulton
Bellairs, Dean, Cooley,
Siler, Moulton & Smith

SUPERVISOR ANDY TROTOGOT opened the Board Meeting at 7:03 p.m. with roll call and the Pledge to the American Flag.

ROLL CALL: Trotogot, Morford, Liepmann, Fotenakes, Gardner, Reed, and Attorney Moulton

MEMBERS ABSENT: Flowers - due to being ill

OTHERS PRESENT: Four (4) present and past Candidates plus nine (9) residents

TROTOGOT introduced the Candidates for the 2004 November General Election who were in attendance: Tom Schumaker, Trustee; Barry Pratt, Trustee; Bonnie Martinson, Clerk; and members of the present Board of Trustees.

TROTOGOT requested to remove from the Agenda, listed under New Business: item number 2, "*Consideration of New Items for the Board Room*" and item number 3, "*Code Enforcement Officer.*"

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA: FOTENAKES MOVED, seconded by Reed to adopt the Agenda minus New Business items number two (2) and three (3). **MOTION CARRIED.**

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF JULY 8, 2004: LIEPMANN MOVED, seconded by Reed to approve the minutes of July 8, 2004 with one (1) correction: **Page 8**, "Adjournment: There...at 8:14 p.m." **changed to** "Adjournment: There being no further business matters, Acting Vice Chairperson Carl Liepmann adjourned the meeting at 8:14 p.m. **MOTION CARRIED.**

APPROVAL OF BILLS: FOTENAKES MOVED, seconded by Morford to pay the bills as listed. **REED** questioned Check No. 13823 to Flushing Towing Service for Dennis Judson; Check No. 13860 to the City of Flushing for cemetery lots; and Check No. 13882 to Wildtype Design Nursery Seed for plants for resale at the Flushing Nature Park. **FOTENAKES** questioned Check No. 13839 to the Flushing Area Senior Center for van expenses.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

AYES: Fotenakes, Gardner, Liepmann, Morford, Reed, and Trotogot

NAYS: 0 **MOTION CARRIED.**

ABSENT: Flowers

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

1. Ditching Projects being Completed

TROTOGOT stated many of the local residents have had flooding problems resulting in damages to their basements, garages, and front rooms. The Township embarked on a

Ditching Program to eliminate the water problems; there were a lot of concerns from the local residents so it was decided to have Mike Wilson (Wilson) of the Genesee County Drain Commission attend the Board of Trustees Meeting.

HISTORY OF THE ROWE DRAIN:

WILSON stated the Stanley Road ditch problems had been named the “Seymour Road Branch of the Rowe Drain.” It had originally been designed to relieve flooding on Stanley Road, West of Seymour Road, and at two (2) points on Seymour Road: **1)** 400-500 feet of the intersection of Seymour Road/Stanley Road and **2)** approximately one-quarter (1/4) mile North of the Seymour Road/Stanley Road intersection. (See Attachment).

WILSON recommended the Board of Trustees review a Resolution for a petition for the particular branch of the Rowe Drain. The Board of Trustees may also need to examine the main Rowe Drain, which had been established in 1895 under Provisions of Act 227 of 1885. The drain had been reworked and extended to flow to the East side of the railroad tracks. It had formerly been the old township drain but was converted to a County Drain after 1918 when the township drain commissioner had become extinct and the County Drain Commissioner took over the responsibilities; no records have been available after 1918. The assumption being no work has been done on the main Rowe Drain.

LIEPMANN stated the drain had been petitioned approximately ten (10) years ago. A huge crowd had been at the Board of Determination Hearing to protest the matter; the Board of Determination turned the matter down. **WILSON** stated that since the Board of Determination had turned the matter down, a surveyor or engineer had not had the opportunity to examine the drain to see if any improvements could be made.

WILSON stated that when Rowe Drain No. 2 (See Attachment) had been cleaned out, it was discovered that individuals had created their own crossings and had used grossly undersized tools; a method to determine if the grades were too high or too low was unavailable. The similar situation may exist with the main Rowe Drain. **WILSON** stated that anything West of the railroad tracks and North of the green line (See Attachment) would not be a County drain; it would be a natural water course that would extend to Brent Run.

WILSON recommended consideration of all the factors should be made as to how the Resolution should be written.

IMMEDIATE MAINTENANCE VERSES PETITIONS:

TROTOGOT wanted to know if maintenance work could be started rather than going through the Petitions. **WILSON** stated that on the Rowe Drain, maintenance could be phased in on a periodic basis, but standing restrictions are placed by the Drain Code. The maintenance would start on the East side of the railroad tracks and would move southeasterly and then South; nothing could be done West of the railroad tracks and North of the point where the green highlighted area (See Attachment) has been located because there would be a natural water course. **LIEPMANN** wanted to know if maintenance work could be done on the black highlighted “Main” drain (See Attachment); **WILSON** stated the black highlighted mark entitled “Main” was only a proposed improvement that was not in the petition stage.

BOARD OF DETERMINATION HEARING:

LIEPMANN wanted to know the time period between a request by the Board of Determination hearing and the time the cleaning would take place. **WILSON** stated it would depend upon two (2) things:

1. how quickly an engineered report could be done
2. how quickly the property owners in the area would agree to sign easements – due to the drain going across private property
 - a. easements are not available for the proposed Seymour Road Branch of the Rowe Drain
 - b. the yellow highlighted area (See Attachment) shows only on paper – the property has never been deeded

- c. first step for the easement procedure
 - 1. petitions either circulated by the private property owners
OR
 - 2. passed as a Resolution by the Township Board of Trustees

WILSON recommended the Board of Trustees take a review of everything along all the points of the Main Rowe Drain and the proposed drain. **LIEPMANN** stated there were three (3) places of concern: **1)** Stanley Road ditching, **2)** 7087 Seymour Road (Marty Coe's property) where the drain comes across/under the Mattis Property, and **3)** further North on Seymour Road where new builds have been constructed and water has continued to flood the property. The main concern would be the easements as they would have to go between homes and would extend to the main drain. **WILSON** stated on finished property, such as where there are lawns, trees, etc, the drains would have to be closed with tiles; in areas where there are no lawns, etc. the procedure would be to have open ditches.

COMMENTS FROM THREE (3) STANLEY ROAD RESIDENTS:

- 1) Jim and Barbara Gillean – 9518 W. Stanley Road and
 - 2) Michael Clark – 10000 Stanley Road
- Concerns of each of the residents:
- a. “no need to ditch as there has never been any water in the ditch”
 - b. “there is water standing in the ditch all time now”
 - c. “why did the ditches have to be cleaned out”
 - d. “people would fill in the ditches to make lawn mowing easier”
 - e. “would not give an easement for the drain”
 - f. “very upset with the situation”
 - g. “have the new built homes, located back further in the fields away from the roads, affected the water flow

HISTORY OF DITCHING CONCERNS:

TROTOGOT stated the water problem had started at the corner of Turner Road and Stanley Road but a crew started ditching at the intersection of Stanley Road and Seymour Road, which the original ditching was scheduled for Turner Road to Seymour Road on Stanley Road. The ditching process has been placed on “hold” until further consultation between the Road Commission and the Drain Commission due to water and culvert problems. **WILSON** stated that regardless of where the road way culvert was located, once the outlet had been cleaned out, at some point downstream from the location on Stanley Road that worked, nothing else would work. The side slope requirements, per the Road Commission, for a two-foot bottom ditch would be three-on-one on both sides. After the road ditch has been dug to about three (3) to three and one-half (3 ½) feet deep, you would be on the right-of-way area, taking into consideration a three (3) foot shoulder and the rest would be of the thirty-three (33) feet that has been consumed by the road ditch. There would be super deep ditches because of the ditching project; a safety issue would then be involved.

FOTENAKES stated that since there has been a ditching problem, the only way to solve the problem between the Genesee County Drain Commission (Drain Commission and the Genesee County Road Commission (Road Commission), would be to have a Resolution and to listen to what **WILSON** has recommended.

GARDNER wanted to know if the county drains should have been cleaned out first, due to the water along the ditches having no place to drain since the county drains have not been cleaned out. **WILSON** stated that unless there had been petitions passed and construction work started, there could only be maintenance on a periodic or phased in basis based on the Drain Commission's ability to only spend \$2,500 per mile per drain per year.

LIEPMANN stated the Board of Trustees should determine, with **WILSON**, how much of the project should be done immediately or as soon as possible and then petition the

Drain Commission to do the engineering study. **WILSON** recommended reviewing all the aspects that had been discussed such as the old township drain, the natural water course that is downstream, the proposed drain improvements at Stanley Road and Seymour Road, and determine how the Board of Trustees would like for the Drain Commission to continue.

GARDNER wanted to know if there would be enough fall from the location where the ditches have currently being dug, to run the water to the Rowe Drain, which runs parallel to the railroad tracks.

LIEPMANN stated the more concrete that has been placed in the ground, the less area for the water to flow. **WILSON** stated an adequate outlet needed to be addressed. The bigger the scope of the project to be examined, surveyed or engineered, the bigger the drainage district, the lower the cost per capita.

SCENERIOS OF THE DRAIN (Per Mike Wilson):

- The Rowe Drain has not been cleaned out since 1918 and should be cleaned in its entirety
- No one has ever defined the drain West of the railroad tracks because it has plenty of drain and has functioned as a natural water course since the beginning of time; the only portion to be cleaned out would be the portion that was created as a drain in 1918
- work doesn't need to be done on the Rowe Drain; simply address what has been proposed to be called the Seymour Road Branch of the Rowe Drain

TROTOGOT stated the project has turned out to be bigger than it was ever determined.

SOLUTION:

LIEPMANN inquired from **WILSON** as to Branch #2 South was not completed and the road ditches were dug along Stanley Road and North on Seymour Road to Branch #2 to flow back to the Main Drain, etc. (See Attachment) **WILSON** stated that if a ditch was dug that would flow three hundred (300) feet to three hundred thirty (330) feet which would be the Stanley Road and Seymour Road Intersection, then take it back East, the ditch would be running uphill two (2) to six (6) feet before it would be at the intersection to be able to flow down the ditch.

WILSON stated suppose there was an established system to where there would be a bonding process for unplatted sites that, until they establish the form of drainage to the original condition, they should be bonded. **TROTOGOT** inquired from **ATTORNEY MOULTON** that since there have been so many problems with drainage, could the Township require, prior to issuing a building permit, an engineer's study stating the water would drain and in which direction. **ATTORNEY MOULTON** stated there was nothing that would prohibit the township from following through on this method; on unplatted property, the ordinance could be amended to require an engineer to give a statement or opinion as to what the affect would be on the proposed construction on drainage, but the cost to construct a home would be increased. The procedure would have to be submitted as part of the request for a "Building Permit" and, then if a bond was requested, an insurance company would have to insure the bond.

GARDNER stated there were miles and miles of county drains that needed to be cleaned out so maybe now would be the time to be pro-active as apposed to re-active and concentrate on the extra funds to take care of the maintenance. **FOTENAKES** felt the township should start with the lease expensive first. **LIEPMANN** stated that due to the tremendous amount of rain this year, it has not helped the drain situation.

REPORT FROM 7th DISTRICT COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONER:

7th District County Board of Commissioner ARCHIE BAILEY (BAILEY) stated that since he has been elected Board of Commissioner, he has received 169 constituent complaints; he has met with them face to face to try to deal with the issues; two-thirds

(2/3) of the complaints have dwelt with ditching problems mostly in Thetford Township. **BAILEY** proposed to bring the Road Commission and the Drain Commission together to resolve the matter. There were only four (4) complaints from Flushing; the biggest problem on Deland Road. The best source to the ditch problems is "Planning." **BAILEY** recommended giving the residents a pre-notification of the ditching problems with a warning there could be a charge to the project. **BAILEY** stated he would be glad to meet with any resident in regards to the water/ditching problem.

LIEPMANN recommended that **BAILEY** stress to the Road Commission to notify the township prior to the time when they (the entities) are planning to dig. The problem of having the driveways in asphalt or concrete on the right-of-way area has been a major concern.

It was determined to have the Road Commission, Drain Commission, Commissioner Bailey and meet with the Stanley Road residents to see what had been done and what could be done to improve the situation.

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Second Reading of the MIP Ordinance (Minor In Possession Ordinance No. 56-A-95) for the Flushing Township Police Department

LIEPMANN MOVED, seconded by Fotenakes to have the second reading of the MIP Ordinance (Minor In Possession Ordinance No. 56-A-95 for the Flushing Township Police Department. MOTION CARRIED.

2. Fire Protection Agreement between the City of Flushing and the Charter Township of Flushing

TROTOGOT stated a new Fire Protection Agreement between Flushing Township and the City of Flushing would be in affect with very few changes. **LIEPMANN** stated the 2004-2005 Fire Protection Agreement was supposed to be \$108,000.00 but was increased; Dennis Bow, City Manager of the City of Flushing was contacted and the proposed agreement for 2004-2005 was decreased to \$108,000.00. For the years 2006 through 2009, the proposal would be \$112,000.00. **FOTENAKES MOVED**, seconded by Reed to accept the Fire Protection Agreement between the City of Flushing and the Charter Township of Flushing.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

AYES: Fotenakes, Gardner, Liepmann, Reed, Morford, and Trotogot

NAYS: 0 MOTION CARRIED.

ABSENT: Flowers

3. City of Flushing Agreement for Flushing Area Non-Motorized Path

TROTOGOT stated Flushing Township along with the City of Flushing had agreed in 1999 to be involved with the non-motorized path project at a cost of \$36,800.00. The actual Agreement would only cost \$10,000.00. The Resolution had been passed November 30, 1999 with Flushing Township agreeing to provide at least a thirty (30%) percent match to the cost of \$36,800. The reduction in cost has been due to the path not being extended to Seymour School. The dollar amounts involved: Flushing Township would pay \$10,000; the City of Flushing would pay \$386,000; Genesee County would pay \$46,500; the remaining amount would be paid by grants. The trail would cross the Flint River by the Flushing Pistol Club and extend along the river to the pavilion. The trail would be sixteen (16) feet wide.

The motion made on November 30, 1999 being:

"FOTENAKES MOVED, seconded by McLean to approve Resolutuion 11-30-99 and cooperate with other entities for the grant application for the non-motorized trailway and pedestrian bridge."

ROLL CALL VOTE

Yes: Fotenakes, Liepmann, McLean, Reed and Trotogot.

No: None

GARDNER stated the non-motorized trail was a prime example of \$10,000.00, although the non-motorized path would be nice, there are problems with ditches, where the township should be focused, as opposed to building new construction.

4. Consideration of New Stand Alone Voting Devices and Ballot Bags

MORFORD stated that due to the changes in the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) Law, the township has the opportunity to purchase the **Stand Alone Privacy Panels** which could be placed on tables and the residents would be able to sit while they are voting. There would be a need to have ten (10) panels at each of the seven (7) precincts at a cost of \$16.95 per panel for a total cost of \$1,186.50.

Canvas Ballot Bags are also available to the Township through Doubleday and would have the ability to be sealed; the bags would be certified from Doubleday Company. There would be a need for seven (7) ballot bags (one per precinct) at \$22.02 per bag for a total of \$154.14.

REED MOVED, seconded by Liepmann to allow Ms. Morford to purchase the privacy panels and the ballot bags for the upcoming elections at a cost of \$1,340.64.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

AYES: Gardner, Liepmann, Morford, Reed, Fotenakes, and Trogot

NAYS: 0 MOTION CARRIED.

ABSENT: Flowers

5. Discussion of Quote Updates for the Computers in Office

MORFORD reviewed the Quotes which had been received from J.B. Enterprise (Jon Brown) for an update to computers due to the RIA applications moving toward Windows based products. The breakdown of the quotes being: \$2,975.00 to upgrade the computers for Janet, Megen, Cathy, Carl and Dennis; \$905.00 to upgrade the Server; and \$415.00 for single line laser bar code scanner for a total of \$4,295.00. **FOTENAKES** recommended obtaining bids from other computer companies; **REED** thought it would be a waste of time and money to get other bids; **GARDNER** felt that although bids did not have to be obtained, due to a good repor, it would not hurt to get bids every so often to see if J.B. Enterprises would still be the lowest bidder. It was determined that **FOTENAKES** and **GARDNER** would get bids and return with the totals at the next meeting.

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

1. Parks and Recreation Committee

LIEPMANN stated a meeting has been scheduled for August 25, 2004 to update the Flushing Area Five-Year Parks and Recreation Plan with the City of Flushing, Charter Township of Flushing and the Flushing Community Schools. The current plan expires November 2004; the plan will be brought back to the Flushing Board of Trustees.

2. Concerts in the Park

FOTENAKES reported that August 11, 2004 was an *Irish Night* for the Concerts in the Park. All expenses had been paid by Jack Goggins of Flushing. There was also free ice cream and sno cones. The 50/50 Drawing of \$388.00 was drawn by Mr. Goggins who donated the money for someone else to draw; a very luck lady won the money. The weather wasn't as great as anticipated but was a great show.

REPORTS:

1. Treasurer's Report – FOTENAKES MOVED, seconded by Morford to accept the Treasurer's Report. MOTION CARRIED.

2. **Building Inspector's Report – LIEPMANN MOVED**, seconded by Fotenakes to accept the Building Inspector's Report. MOTION CARRIED.

BUILDING PERMITS ISSUED:

NEW HOMES	5
ACCESSORY BUILDINGS	5
DECKS	5
REMODELING	1
ADDITIONS	1
GARAGES	1
FENCE PERMITS	2
ROOF REPAIR	0
POOL	3
COMMERCIAL	1
SIGN	0
TOTAL PERMITS ISSUED	24
PERMIT VALUATION FOR JULY 2003	\$ 844,502
PERMIT VALUATION FOR JULY 2004	1,108,913
PERMIT FEES COLLECTED FOR JULY 2004	\$ 5,769
TRAILER INSPECTIONS (3)	150
TRASH AND RECYCLING CHARGES	0
SPECIAL USE PERMIT (2)	70
HOME OCCUPATION PERMIT	0
EARTH REMOVAL PERMIT	0
VARIANCE REQUEST	0
REZONING REQUEST	0
CODE BOOKS	0
FOR A TOTAL OF	\$ 5,989
CONSTRUCTION TO DATE JULY 2003	7,557,473
CONSTRUCTION TO DATE JULY 2004	6,826,553
FOR A DECREASE OF	\$ 730,920

3. **Planning Commission Seminar Entitled: "Laying Out Your Land Use Future and Land Use Tools"**

TROTOGOT stated that Planning Commission members Doyle, Flowers, Gibbs, Bowron, Buell and Swanson would like to attend the Seminar entitled "*Laying Out Your Land Use Future*" and "*Land Use Tools*" at a cost of \$150.00 per person. The Seminar would be held at the Bavarian Inn Lodge in Frankenmuth on Tuesday, August 17, 2004.

FOTENAKES MOVED, seconded by Liepmann to allow Planning Commission Members Doyle, Flowers, Gibbs, Bowron, Buell, and Swanson to attend the "*Laying Out Your Land Use Future*" and "*Land Use Tools*" at a cost of \$150 per person.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

AYES: Liepmann, Morford, Reed, Fotenakes, Gardner, and Trotogot

NAYS: 0 MOTION CARRIED.

ABSENT: Flowers

4. **Michigan Township Association (MTA) 2004 Fall District Meeting**

TROTOGOT stated the MTA **2004 Fall District Meeting** would be held at the Bavarian Inn Lodge in Frankenmuth on Wednesday, September 22, 2004; the early bird cost would be \$70 per person for the first two (2) people, and each additional person would be \$60 each. **LIEPMANN MOVED**, seconded by Fotenakes to send anyone that would like to attend the *2004 Fall District Meeting* at the Bavarian Inn Lodge in Frankenmuth on Wednesday, September 22, 2004 be allowed to do so.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

AYES: Morford, Reed, Fotenakes, Gardner, Liepmann, and Trotogot

NAYS: 0 MOTION CARRIED.

ABSENT: Flowers

5. Michigan Township Association Sponsored “Countdown to 2004 Elections” Seminar

MORFORD and Deputy Clerk, Megen Fischer, requested permission to attend the “*Countdown to '04 Elections*” Seminar to be held on Thursday, October 7, 2004 at the Holiday Inn Gateway Centre, Flint, at an early-bird cost of \$75 per person. **REED MOVED**, seconded by Liepmann that the Clerk and Deputy Clerk be allowed to attend the “*Countdown to '04 Elections*” Seminar.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

AYES: Reed, Fotenakes, Gardner, Liepmann, Morford, and Trotogot

NAYS: 0 MOTION CARRIED.

ABSENT: Flowers

6. Dupuis and Ryden Audit Report

LIEPMANN stated the Dupuis and Ryden Audit Report is scheduled for the Board of Trustees on Thursday, September 9, 2004 at 6:00 p.m. As of the end of the current fiscal year, the Township is going to be under the Governmental Audit Standards Bureau (GASB) 34, who revised the format for governmental financial reports with significant changes made with which one (1) change will affect the Board of Trustees at the current meeting: *infrastructure assets – buildings, park facilities and land improvements as assets on the Balance Sheet*. All assets owned by the township would be depreciated including infrastructure assets, other assets recorded and the general fixed assets account group and budget to actual comparisons which would present both the original and the final amended budgets. (The original budget which the Board of Trustees had approved October 2004 to begin April 1, 2005, must be presented with the final budget in March 31, 2006 for comparison to see how well the township has done by following the budget from what was established at the beginning of the year as to how the budget ended up at the end of the year. The State of Michigan has required the procedure.

LIEPMANN stated everything which the Township owns, that has a shelf life of two (2) years and/or whatever figure that is placed on the items that would not be listed, and the State would give a reasonable amount and the reasonable amount would be around \$1,000. An inventory has to be kept of anything which would be \$1,000 or more that would not be depreciated out in two (2) years or less.

LIEPMANN MOVED, seconded by Fotenakes that for final reporting purposes the Township of Flushing would Capitalize any individual item purchased that exceeded \$1,000 and would have a useful life of greater than two (2) years, effective September 12, 2004. MOTION CARRIED.

7. Budget Changes

LIEPMANN announced the following Amendments to the General Fund Budget:

PAGE NO.	EXPENSES	FROM	TO
2	Township Board		
	Plan/Zone Convention	\$300	\$1,200
2	Misc Expense	\$400	(\$29,600)
3	Bookkeeping		

	Office Expense Computer Rpr	\$750	\$3,000
10	Park/Rec Fund		
	Designated Expenditures	\$5,000	\$7,510
12	Police Fund		
	Officers Wages	\$660,000	\$630,000

LIEPMANN MOVED, seconded by Fotenakes for the revisions to the Budget.
MOTION CARRIED.

PAGE NO.	Dental Fund	FROM	TO
7	Single	\$25	\$40
	Couple	\$45	\$60
	Family	\$70	\$90

LIEPMANN MOVED, seconded by Fotenakes to increase the Dental Fund to:
 Single - \$40 per month
 Couple - \$60 per month
 Family - \$90 per month

MOTION CARRIED.

BOARD COMMENTS:

- REED** would also like a copy of the minutes of the Planning Commission and the Zoning Board of Appeals whether they have been approved or not.
- GARDNER** would like to have the word “draft” on the minutes if possible; much easier to locate with all the other documentations which the Board of Trustees receives for Board Meetings.
- FOTENAKES** received a request from a local resident regarding a neighbor “who is not supposed to place any more dirt in his yard” on Deland Road. **FOTENAKES** showed photographs of the dirt in the yard. **MIKE WILSON** of the Drain Commission, has been involved in the matter; a petition is in existence; **ATTORNEY MOULTON** will also check into the Ordinance.

8:56 P.M. OPEN TO PUBLIC COMMENTS:

- Jennifer Steinley** – “water on her property that comes from neighbor’s water problems; **MIKE WILSON** of the Genesee County Drain Commission has reviewed the situation; **ATTORNEY MOULTON** stated there were no ordinances that controlled water problems – The neighbor cannot change the natural flow of water to divert the water from neighbor’s property to Ms. Steinley’s property.
- Harold Draheim, 10187 W. Coldwater Road, Flushing** – “the ponies next door to him just got moved; would like something done about the parking.”
- Archie Bailey – 7th District - Genesee County Board of Commissioners** – “loves coming to the Board Meetings and appreciates the service from the township; three (3) new paramedics have been added to the Sheriff’s Patrol; in 2003 the Sheriff Medical Emergency Vehicle made 156 calls to Flushing Township in addition to CMM and the rest of the ambulance services; average response time is ten (10) minutes; the City of Flushing had 155 calls with response time is ten (10) minutes; there were 4,906 calls responded to County wide for 2003; paramedics doing great work.”
- Mike Wilson, Drain Commission** – Everyone will meet with the Stanley Road residents and Mr. Marty Coe and his neighbor and arrive at a plan. (The matter will be placed on the September Agenda to determine the legal description.)

9:10 P.M. COMMENTS CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

THE NEXT BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING IS SCHEDULED FOR THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 2004 AT 7:00 P.M.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business matters, Supervisor Trogotot adjourned the meeting at 9:13 p.m.

Julia A. Morford, Clerk

Andrew Trogotot, Supervisor

APPROVED DATE: _____

08/12/04 Regular